

LGIM's ESG scores

A quantitative analysis of the
impact of our engagement
campaign





In 2019, LGIM ran a targeted engagement campaign focused on social, governance and transparency issues at large companies with poor ESG scores. After giving them an opportunity to improve their scores, we take a quantitative look at the results in this paper to identify whether progress has been made.

LGIM's purpose is "to create a better future through responsible investing". We have always been clear that one of the primary ways in which we can achieve this is through our stewardship activities – using our influence as a major institutional investor, both through voting with the shares we own and through collaboration with peers and policymakers to raise overall standards in the market.

In 2020, for example, we voted at 14,288 company meetings.¹ We also held 891 engagements with companies to raise their environmental, social and governance (ESG) standards. We therefore feel confident in describing ourselves as active owners. But are we effective owners?

1. Source: LGIM, February 2021



It's a question our clients and other stakeholders rightly often ask us: "How do you measure the success of your engagements?" Our [quarterly impact reports](#) and our annual [Active Ownership](#) report provide plenty of qualitative evidence of the results we achieve through engagement. This campaign based on ESG scores, though, is an opportunity for us to look more closely at the impact of our engagement using data only. In this way, we can measure the evolution of our scores over a one-year period to understand if the companies we engaged with have listened and improved their scores.

We are committed to being transparent about the observed results of this campaign and to providing our clients and other stakeholders with an honest assessment. We believe doing so can help us not only be more transparent with our clients and stakeholders, but can moreover help us refine our approach and engage more purposefully in the future.

This article therefore details the effect the engagement campaign we undertook in 2019 had over the following year on the companies we targeted. Importantly, we are looking solely at changes in the ESG scores of these companies between September 2019 and September 2020; these scores are based on data from independent third-party providers. Our scores and methodology are fully transparent and publicly available through our [dedicated website](#).



Marion Plouhinec
Senior Global ESG Analyst,
Investment Stewardship



Clare Payn
Senior Global ESG & Diversity Manager,
Investment Stewardship

S, G & T time

In 2019, we selected 124 companies for targeted engagement on one or more of their social (S), governance (G), and transparency (T) scores, each of which feeds into our overall ESG score. The companies we chose to engage in this campaign were identified on the basis of both their size and prominence in our equity and fixed income strategies, and their low S, G, and/or T scores.

These were primarily educational engagements, rather than traditional active owner conversations. Our dialogue with the companies therefore had three broad aims:



To highlight their poor ESG scores to the laggards in which we have significant stakes;




To create awareness at board level around ESG scores, their use in capital allocation (see box), and the importance of verifying third-party ESG data;



To emphasise our commitment to [transparency](#).

However, we acknowledge that change cannot happen overnight. This is particularly true for some of the criteria that determine our ESG scores. For example, while we may reasonably expect relatively swift action to address shortcomings on our transparency indicators through enhanced disclosures, we appreciate that it can take longer within a company to change a social or governance metric. Appointing a new director to strengthen diversity on the board may take more than a year, for instance. We must also take into account the time needed for third-party providers to reflect changes within their databases.



ESG scores and capital allocation

As more widespread access to ESG data gradually enables markets to better price in that information, we believe this will empower the investment community to use capital allocation as an engagement tool. This will also be an incentive for boards to seek to achieve better ESG performance. This is something LGIM is already doing, for example with our proprietary ESG score that combines an assessment on a company's ESG performance with adjustments made for a company's overall transparency levels on related issues ('T score'). We utilise the LGIM ESG scores in the Future World fund range, whose index funds are **'tilted'** towards companies with stronger scores and away from those that score poorly. The scores can also be used as a data input into our active investment process, combined with further detailed ESG analysis and fundamental research.

Progress made...

So, with that context, did the score of the companies we engaged with improve following our campaign?

Percentage of companies whose scores improved from 30/09/2019 to 30/09/2020

Campaign / score	ESG	E	S	G	T
S Campaign	72%	64%	62%	47%	77%
G Campaign	67%	51%	42%	71%	78%
T Campaign	59%	69%	44%	50%	53%
Overall	68%	60%	52%	58%	73%

Source: LGIM, as at February 2021

A few messages stand out to us:

- Across each of our campaigns, we have seen progress at around two-thirds of the companies targeted. Moreover, the magnitude of improvement in both the total ESG scores and their individual components has been significant.
- More encouragingly, we did witness something of a halo effect: when we engaged companies on a single topic, there tended to be an associated improvement in their individual scores and wider ESG score. For example, we saw 72% of companies targeted on 'S' improve their overall ESG score, and 77% of S-targeted companies improve their 'T' score.
- We note a 67% improvement of ESG score and 78% improvement of T score for the G-targeted companies. Lastly, there is a lower but still meaningful 59% ESG score improvement for the companies where we focused on T.





...but we can go further

This is of course what we hoped to see, but we must also note some more disappointing trends. One concern is the response to engagement on transparency. As this relates to minimum levels of disclosure, it should be relatively straightforward for companies to remedy. However, this was the campaign that delivered the least success: only a little more than half of these companies recorded any progress on their ESG score and only 53% of companies targeted on transparency improved their T score.

There are some caveats: there will be a lag effect both as companies put in place new policies and as these are recognised by the data providers; and it may be that companies that lack transparency in the first place are the hardest to engage on ESG matters.

Because transparency is absolutely essential – investors need access to relevant, comparable, consistent, and verifiable ESG data across markets regardless of size, geography or asset class – this will be a priority for us. **From 2022, LGIM will therefore be voting against any laggards on our T score.** This means that any company not providing sufficient disclosure on the following indicators will be sanctioned:

- ESG reporting standard
- Verification of ESG reporting
- Scope of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
- Tax disclosure
- Director disclosure
- Remuneration disclosure



Inaction is not an option

While two-thirds of the companies we engaged with improved their overall ESG score, this also means that a third of companies received a worse ESG score a year after our engagement.

We will keep engaging with the companies in which we invest in order to raise standards across the market for the benefit of all our clients and stakeholders. This analysis only reinforces our commitment to such active ownership, and will help us refine our approach to maximise our impact.

Investors' and the public's expectations on social, governance, and transparency considerations are only going to grow, so we encourage companies to take these issues seriously. We believe those with the weakest ESG profiles are likely to be penalised by the markets and it is therefore critical that boards are proactive, decisive, and follow through both to implement at least the minimum standards and to ensure the information third-party ESG data providers have is accurate.

Given the importance of ESG scores and their impact on capital allocation, we expect all companies to seek to position themselves as ESG leaders and understand the centrality of transparent disclosure and data verification. Our engagement campaigns are designed with the aim of helping them achieve this and, based on the insights from quantitative and qualitative analysis of our work, we look forward to further progress in 2021 and beyond.

Spotlight on Japan

As part of these campaigns, we engaged 13 Japanese companies: five on their social scores, five on transparency, and three on governance. On social metrics, three of the five companies improved their scores. On transparency, two of the five companies improved their scores – including one that climbed from zero – and none deteriorated. On governance, two of the three companies improved their scores. Overall, nine of the 13 companies engaged (69%) improved their total ESG score.

Contact us

For further information about LGIM, please visit lgim.com or contact your usual LGIM representative



Key risks

Past performance is not a guide to the future. The value of an investment and any income taken from it is not guaranteed and can go down as well as up, you may not get back the amount you originally invested.

Important information

The information contained in this document (the 'Information') has been prepared by LGIM Managers Europe Limited ('LGIM Europe'), or by its affiliates ('Legal & General', 'we' or 'us'). Such Information is the property and/or confidential information of Legal & General and may not be disclosed by you to any other person without the prior written consent of Legal & General.

No party shall have any right of action against Legal & General in relation to the accuracy or completeness of the Information, or any other written or oral information made available in connection with this publication. Any investment advice that we provide to you is based solely on the limited initial information which you have provided to us. No part of this or any other document or presentation provided by us shall be deemed to constitute 'proper advice' for the purposes of the Investment Intermediaries Act 1995 (as amended). Any limited initial advice given relating to professional services will be further discussed and negotiated in order to agree formal investment guidelines which will form part of written contractual terms between the parties.

The Information has been produced for use by a professional investor and their advisors only. It should not be distributed without our permission.

The risks associated with each fund or investment strategy are set out in this publication, its KIID, the relevant prospectus or investment management agreement (as applicable) and these should be read and understood before making any investment decisions. A copy of the relevant documentation can be obtained from your Client Relationship Manager.

Confidentiality and limitations:

Unless otherwise agreed by Legal & General in writing, the Information in this document (a) is for information purposes only and we are not soliciting any action based on it, and (b) is not a recommendation to buy or sell securities or pursue a particular investment strategy; and (c) is not investment, legal, regulatory or tax advice. Any trading or investment decisions taken by you should be based on your own analysis and judgment (and/or that of your professional advisors) and not in reliance on us or the Information. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we exclude all representations, warranties, conditions, undertakings and all other terms of any kind, implied by statute or common law, with respect to the Information including (without limitation) any representations as to the quality, suitability, accuracy or completeness of the Information.

Any projections, estimates or forecasts included in the Information (a) shall not constitute a guarantee of future events, (b) may not consider or reflect all possible future events or conditions relevant to you (for example, market disruption events); and (c) may be based on assumptions or simplifications that may not be relevant to you.

The Information is provided 'as is' and 'as available'. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Legal & General accepts no liability to you or any other recipient of the Information for any loss, damage or cost arising from, or in connection with, any use or reliance on the Information. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Legal & General does not accept any liability for any indirect, special or consequential loss howsoever caused and, on any theory, or liability, whether in contract or tort (including negligence) or otherwise, even if Legal & General has been advised of the possibility of such loss.

D001866_Japan

Third party data:

Where this document contains third party data ('Third Party Data'), we cannot guarantee the accuracy, completeness or reliability of such Third-Party Data and accept no responsibility or liability whatsoever in respect of such Third-Party Data.

Publication, amendments and updates:

We are under no obligation to update or amend the Information or correct any errors in the Information following the date it was delivered to you. Legal & General reserves the right to update this document and/or the Information at any time and without notice.

Although the Information contained in this document is believed to be correct as at the time of printing or publication, no assurance can be given to you that this document is complete or accurate in the light of information that may become available after its publication. The Information may not take into account any relevant events, facts or conditions that have occurred after the publication or printing of this document.

Telephone recording:

As required under applicable laws Legal & General will record all telephone and electronic communications and conversations with you that result or may result in the undertaking of transactions in financial instruments on your behalf. Such records will be kept for a period of five years (or up to seven years upon request from the Central Bank of Ireland (or such successor from time to time)) and will be provided to you upon request.

In the United Kingdom and outside the European Economic Area, it is issued by Legal & General Investment Management Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, No. 119272. Registered in England and Wales No. 02091894 with registered office at One Coleman Street, London, EC2R 5AA.

In the European Economic Area, it is issued by LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited, authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland as a UCITS management company (pursuant to European Communities (Undertakings for Collective Investment in Transferable Securities) Regulations, 2011 (S.I. No. 352 of 2011), as amended) and as an alternative investment fund manager with "top up" permissions which enable the firm to carry out certain additional MiFID investment services (pursuant to the European Union (Alternative Investment Fund Managers) Regulations 2013 (S.I. No. 257 of 2013), as amended). Registered in Ireland with the Companies Registration Office (No. 609677). Registered Office: 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin, 2, Ireland. Regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland (No. C173733).

LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited operates a branch network in the European Economic Area, which is subject to supervision by the Central Bank of Ireland. In Italy, the branch office of LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited is subject to limited supervision by the Commissione Nazionale per le società e la Borsa ("CONSOB") and is registered with Banca d'Italia (no. 23978.0) with registered office at Via Uberto Visconti di Modrone, 15, 20122 Milan, (Companies' Register no. MI - 2557936). In Germany, the branch office of LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited is subject to limited supervision by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority ("BaFin"). In the Netherlands, the branch office of LGIM Managers (Europe) Limited is subject to limited supervision by the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets ("AFM") and it is included in the register held by the AFM and registered with the trade register of the Chamber of Commerce under number 74481231. Details about the full extent of our relevant authorisations and permissions are available from us upon request. For further information on our products (including the product prospectuses), please visit our website.

© 2021 Legal & General Investment Management Limited. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the publishers.